

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EOLAS TECHNOLOGIES
INCORPORATED,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC,

Defendant.

Case No. 17-cv-03022-JST

**ORDER RE: ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT UNDER 35
U.S.C. § 101; DENYING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT OF NON-
INFRINGEMENT AS MOOT;
DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AS
MOOT; DENYING MOTIONS TO
EXCLUDE OR STRIKE EXPERT
TESTIMONY AS MOOT**


Re: ECF No. 858

The Court has restricted public access to the order Granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Under 35 U.S.C. § 101; Denying Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment Of Non-Infringement as Moot; Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment as Moot; Denying Motions to Exclude or Strike Expert Testimony as Moot, ECF No. 858, because it contains or refers to material subject to sealing orders. Within seven days of the date of this order, the parties shall file either (1) a stipulated proposed redacted version of the order, redacting only those portions of the order containing or referring to material for which the Court has granted a motion to seal and which the parties still request be sealed, or (2) a stipulation that the parties agree that no redaction is necessary. If the parties propose redactions, they shall also email a PDF copy of the proposed redacted order, without any ECF headers, to jstpo@cand.uscourts.gov. The Court will review the parties' proposal and issue a redacted version of the order. If the parties stipulate that no redaction is necessary, or if they fail to file a timely stipulation, the Court will allow full access by the public to the unredacted order by removing the restrictions on ECF

No. 858.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 16, 2022


JON S. TIGAR
United States District Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California